

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,429	03/28/2001	John M. Mela	21113-05687	5043
22830	7590 07/18/2003			
CARR & FERRELL LLP 2225 EAST BAYSHORE ROAD SUITE 200			EXAMINER	
			THEIN, MARIA TERESA T	
PALO ALTO	, CA 94303	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Δr	oplication No.	Applicant(s)	$\frac{Q}{Q}$
e Carlo K				
Offic Action Summa	201	9/820,429	MELA, JOHN M.	
J 7.33011 Gailline		caminer	Art Unit	
The MAIL ING DATE of this co		arissa Thein	3625 neet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	ommumeadon appear.	on the cover of	reet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less tha - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply with iximum statutory period will ap d for reply will, by statute, caus months after the mailing date	In no event, however in the statutory minimu ply and will expire SIX se the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>14 Marc</u>	ch 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		ction is non-final		
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims			al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending	in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	• •	rom consideration	an.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed		TOTTI CONSIDERALIC	л.	
	J.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.	ما ام			
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte				
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	restriction and/or ele	ection requireme	nt.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to	o by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>March</u>	•	accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.	
			n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correct			-	
If approved, corrected drawings	s are required in reply to	this Office action	i.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	20			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Noi	ne of:		.,,,,,,	
1. Certified copies of the p	oriority documents ha	ve been receive	d.	
2. Certified copies of the	oriority documents ha	ve been receive	d in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified of	copies of the priority of International Bureau	documents have I (PCT Rule 17.	been received in this National Stage 2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic pri	iority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)).
a) ☐-The translation of the fore 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	eign language provisio	onal application	has been received.	,
Attachment(s)			Attachel	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residue of Draftsperson of Draf		5) 🗍 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action 9	Summary	Part of Paper No. 15	

Art Unit: 3625

•••

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's "Amendment" filed on March 14, 2003 has been considered with the following effect.

Examiner includes the Interview Summary that was conducted on February 27, 2003.

Examiner acknowledges that the specification and claims 4 and 20 were amended.

Claims 1-25 remain pending and an action on the merits of these claims follows.

Drawings

The drawings filed on March 28, 2001 are acceptable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,844,554 to Geller t al. Regarding claims 1-8, Geller discloses a method and system for performing a product configuration, (col. 3, lines 24-40; col. 10, lines 44-

Art Unit: 3625

• • .

53) the method comprising: receiving user input specifying at least one selected domain member (col. 3, lines 24-40; col. 16, lines 1-15; col. 10, lines 44-53); propagating the constraints over the received user input thereby producing a result the identifies incompatibilities between the domain member caused the at least one select domain member (col. 11, lines 3-27; col. 23, line 46 - col. 24, line 47; col. 24, lines 59col. 25, line 52; col. 26, lines 16-44); modifying the result by detecting and eliminating incompatibilities caused solely by bounceback behavior (col. 10, lines 64- col. 11, line 27; col. 12, lines 51-56; col. 18, line 26 - col. 19, line 9; col. 23, line 46 - col. 24, line 47; col. 24, lines 59-col. 25, line 52; col. 25, line 64 – col. 26, line 44; col. 28, line 56 – col. 29, line 32). Furthermore, Geller disclose generating a configuration page based on the modified result so that domain members identified as being incompatible due to bounceback behavior are not marked as conflicted choices on the configuration page (col. 25, lines 1-45; Figures 14A-14B, 15A-15B); providing the configuration of the user (col. 25, lines 1-45; Figures 14A-14B, 15A-15B); repeating steps included in the method until the product configuration is complete (col. 10, lines 7-28); and the method is implemented by a set of software instructions running on a computer (col. 1, lines 6-9; col. 10, lines 13-17; col. 12, lines 51-56).

Regarding to claims 9-10 and 21, Geller discloses a method for detecting bounceback behavior associated with a configuration problem (col. 11, lines 3-27; col. 23, line 46 – col. 24, line 47), the method comprising: receiving a domain member selection for a particular variable (col. 3, lines 24-40; col. 16, lines 1-15; col. 10, lines 44-53); setting a bounceback detection bit vector (Examiner has interpreted bit vector

Art Unit: 3625

×* .

as parameter) (col. 10, line 64 - col. 11, line 2; col. 29, lines 34-col. 30, line 4) associated with each non-selected domain member of the particular variable so that each of those bounceback detection bit vectors indicates bounceback behavior (col. 13, lines 52-61; col. 18, lines 7-8); setting an elimination flag associated with each non-selected domain member of the particular variable (col. 11, lines 3-11; col. 13, lines 52-61; col. 18, lines 7-8); propagating the constraints to identify eliminated domain members of the variables; setting the bounceback detection bit vector of the eliminated domain members to indicate which variable caused their elimination; setting the elimination flag of each of the other eliminated domain members; initializing the bounceback detection bit vector for each domain member of each variable; and initializing the elimination flag for each domain member of each variable. (Col. 10, lines 64- col. 11, line 27; col. 12, lines 51-56; col. 18, line 26 - col. 19, line 9; col. 23, line 46 - col. 24, line 47; col. 24, lines 59-col. 25, line 52; col. 25, line 64 - col. 26, line 44; col. 28, line 56 - col. 30, line 4; col. 30, line 5- col. 31, line 29).

Regarding claims 11-14, Geller discloses the receiving step includes receiving a plurality of domain member selection associated with a corresponding number of particular variables, and the setting and propagation steps of the method are performed for each domain member selections (col. 3, lines 24-40; col. 10, line 64 - col. 11, line 2; col. 16, lines 1-15; col. 10, lines 44-53); the bounceback detections bit vectors that indicate bounceback behavior indicate the particular variable associated with the selected domain member is responsible for elimination of the non-selected domain members; confirming the tentative elimination of a non-selected domain member in

Art Unit: 3625

· .

response to the bounceback detection bit vector associated with the non-selected domain member not indicating bounceback behavior as a result of subsequent constraint propagation; overriding the tentative elimination of a non-selected domain member in response to the bounceback detection bit vector associated with that non-selected domain member indicating bounceback behavior despite subsequent constraint propagation. (Col. 10, lines 64- col. 11, line 27; col. 12, lines 51-56; col. 18, line 26 – col. 19, line 9; col. 23, line 46 – col. 24, line 47; col. 24, lines 59-col. 25, line 52; col. 25, line 64 – col. 26, line 44; col. 28, line 56 – col. 30, line 4p; col. 30, line 5- col. 31, line 29).

Regarding claims 15-17 and 22-24, Geller discloses the step of setting the bounceback detection bit vector of an eliminated domain member to indicate which variable caused that domain member's elimination includes: based on the constraints, identifying a domain member causing the eliminated domain member to be eliminated; copying the bounceback detection bit vector associated with the identified domain member to the bounceback detection bit vector associated with the eliminated domain member; wherein the step of setting the bounceback detection bit vector of an eliminated domain member to indicate which variable caused that domain member's elimination includes: based on constraints, identifying a join corresponding to a disjunction or conjunction; logically ANDing or ORing the bounceback detection bit vectors associated with the domain members included in the join thereby producing a resulting bounceback detection bit vector; and copying the resulting bounceback detection bit vector to the bounceback detection bit vector associated wit the eliminated

Art Unit: 3625

· · .

domain member. (Col. 23, line 46 – col. 24, line 47; col. 24, lines 59-col. 25, line 52; col. 25, line 64 – col. 26, line 44; col. 28, line 56 – col. 30, line 4; col. 30, line 5- col. 31, line 29)

Page 6

Regarding claim 18-20, and 25, Geller generating a configuration page based on the modified result so that domain members identified as being eliminated due to bounceback behavior are not marked as conflicted choices on the configuration page (col. 25, lines 1-45; Figures 14A-14B, 15A-15B); providing the configuration of the user (col. 25, lines 1-45; Figures 14A-14B, 15A-15B); wherein steps of the method are repeated each time a user submits one or more domain member selections (col. 10, lines 7-28); and the method is implemented by a set of software instructions running on a computer (col. 1, lines 6-9; col. 10, lines 13-17; col. 12, lines 51-56).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 703-305-5246. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3625

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

mtot June 30, 2003

Jewrey A. Smith